

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE **FILED**
EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

MAR 25 2008

William B. Guthrie
Clerk, U.S. District Court

By Deputy Clerk


MICHAEL LAROY SIMPSON,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 JUSTIN JONES, et al.,)
)
 Defendants.)

No. CIV 07-041-RAW-SPS

OPINION AND ORDER
DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Plaintiff has filed two motions requesting the court to appoint counsel [Docket Nos. 33 and 35]. He bears the burden of convincing the court that his claim has sufficient merit to warrant appointment of counsel. *McCarthy v. Weinberg*, 753 F.2d 836, 838 (10th Cir. 1985) (citing *United States v. Masters*, 484 F.2d 1251, 1253 (10th Cir. 1973)). The court has carefully reviewed the merits of plaintiff's claims, the nature of factual issues raised in his allegations, and his ability to investigate crucial facts. *McCarthy*, 753 F.2d at 838 (citing *Maclin v. Freake*, 650 F.2d 885, 887-88 (7th Cir. 1981)). After considering plaintiff's ability to present his claims and the complexity of the legal issues raised by the claims, the court finds that appointment of counsel is not warranted. *See Williams v. Meese*, 926 F.2d 994, 996 (10th Cir. 1991); *see also Rucks v. Boergermann*, 57 F.3d 978, 979 (10th Cir. 1995). ACCORDINGLY, plaintiff's motions [Docket Nos. 33 and 35] are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25th day of March 2008.


RONALD A. WHITE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE